

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES
WEDNESDAY, DECEMBER 29, 1999
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

- S084694 Steven Olmo, Petitioner
 v.
 State Bar of California, Respondent
 Application for stay and petition for writ of review DENIED.
- 6th Dist. Network Associates Incorporated, Petitioner
H020893 v.
S084591 Santa Clara County Superior Court, Respondent
 SEP/FBO Leighton DLJSC as Custodian et al.,
 Real Parties in Interest
 Application for stay and petition for review DENIED.
- S068742 Francisco Paz, Appellant
 v.
 State of California et al., Respondents
 The request of counsel for respondents in the above-referenced
 cause to allow two counsel to argue on behalf of respondents at oral
 argument is hereby granted.
- S068742 Francisco Paz, Appellant
 v.
 State of California et al., Respondents
 The request of respondents to allocate to amicus curiae
 Association for California Tort Reform 10 minutes of respondents'
 30-minute allotted time for oral argument is granted.
- S074364 Paul Haggis, Appellant
 v.
 City of Los Angeles, Respondent
 The request of counsel for respondent in the above-referenced
 cause to allow two counsel to argue on behalf of respondent at oral
 argument is hereby granted.
- S074364 Paul Haggis, Appellant
 v.
 City of Los Angeles, Respondent
 The request of respondent to allocate to amicus curiae California
 Attorney General 10 minutes of respondent's 30-minute allotted
 time for oral argument is granted.

S077861 Wendy Fox et al., Appellants

v.

Richard J. Kramer et al., Respondents

The request of counsel for respondents in the above-referenced cause to allow two counsel to argue on behalf of respondents at oral argument is hereby granted.

S077861 Wendy Fox et al., Appellants

v.

Richard J. Kramer et al., Respondents

The request of respondents to allocate to amicus curiae California Medical Assn. 15 minutes of respondents' 30-minute allotted time for oral argument is granted.

S070946 People, Respondent

v.

Russell Ray Rathert, Jr., Appellant

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file respondent's answer to appellant's supplemental brief is extended to and including January 13, 2000.

No further extensions of time will be granted.

S081791 Dana Zelig et al., Appellants

v.

County of Los Angeles et al, Respondents

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file respondent's opening brief on the merits is extended to and including January 19, 2000.

S082110 People, Respondent

v.

Dennis Lee Epps, Appellant

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file respondent's opening brief on the merits is extended to and including 30 days from the date this court rules on respondent's motion to expand the issue on review.

S082570 Brian J. Donovan, Appellant

v.

RRL Corporation, Respondent

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the opening brief on the merits is extended to and including January 24, 2000.

S012852 People, Respondent

v.

Robert Edward Maury, Appellant

Respondent's application for leave to file respondent's brief in excess of 280 pages is granted.

S084406 Lanoris K. Gardner-Myles, Petitioner

v.

Sacramento County Superior Court, Respondent

People, Real Party in Interest

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition shall be denied.

S084516 Larry William Cortinas, Petitioner

v.

Santa Clara County Superior Court, Respondent

People, Real Party in Interest

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Sixth Appellate District, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition shall be denied.